

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,728	05/25/2004	Hsiao-An Hsieh	81096756 / FMC 1671 PUS	3727
28395 75	590 12/06/2006		EXAMI	NER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER			BANKHEAD, (GENE LOUIS
22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,728	HSIEH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gene L. Bankhead	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 May 2004.	`.			
a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	iner				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 May 2004</u> is/are:		cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of References Office (1.10 002) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,728

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bathla(US 5987903).

With regard to claims 1-3,7, and 8 Bathla (US 5987903) teaches a system capable of assessing a refrigerant charge level in a vehicle air conditioning system (Abstract lines 1-2). Bathla further teaches the system comprises a first sensor 16 for providing a cooled air temperature signal, second and third sensors (column 4 lines 10-15) for providing an ambient air temperature and ambient humidity level, and a fourth sensor (column 3 lines 6-8), for providing a compressor cycling signal. Though Bathla does not explicitly teach a sensor for calculating compressor rpm, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a sensor as the subcooling value is a function of the compressor rpm. Further Bathla teaches a processing module 20 capable of determining a refrigerant charge level as a function of signals from the first through the fourth sensors and an indicator capable of indicating that the level of refrigerant charge is acceptable 54 if the charge level is greater than a threshold value (column 4 lines 1-17) or indicating that the level of refrigerant charge is

Application/Control Number: 10/709,728

Art Unit: 3744

unacceptable 56 (column 4 lines 18-28). Bathla teaches the temperature and pressure sensors 16 and 18 are disposed on the conduit 7 of the vehicle, see Figure 1.

With regard to claim 4, though Bathla does not explicitly teach the compressor clutch signal is a voltage sensor, voltage sensors in vehicle refrigeration systems are well known in the art, see (US 6293114). Further the simple addition of a voltage sensor to an existing prior art reference is not sufficient to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from a prior art reference.

Regarding claim 5, Bathla teaches all limitations of claim 1, however fails to teach the vehicle sensors are not disposed on the vehicle. It would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to not dispose the vehicle sensors on the vehicle as mere location of the vehicle sensors does not change the scope of the invention. For wherever the sensors are located they serve the same purpose of detecting and reading the temperature at said locations.

Claims 6, 9, 10-12, 14-16, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bathla in view of Scoccia (US 5481884).

In regard to claims 6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, and 17-19 Bathla in view of Scoccia (US 5481884) teaches a system capable of performing the methods of claim 10, 11, 15 and 16. Bathla (US 5987903) teaches a system capable of assessing a refrigerant charge level in a vehicle air conditioning system (Abstract lines 1-2). Bathla further teaches the system comprises a first sensor 16 for providing a cooled air temperature signal, second and third sensors (column 4 lines 10-15), for providing an ambient air temperature and ambient humidity level and a fourth sensor (column 3 lines 6-8), for providing a

Art Unit: 3744

compressor cycling signal though Bathla does not explicitly teach a sensor for calculating compressor rpm it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide that a sensor is present as the subcooling value is a function of the compressor rpm. Further Bathla teaches a processing module 20 capable of determining a refrigerant charge level as a function of signals from the first through the fourth sensors and an indicator capable of indicating that the level of refrigerant charge is acceptable 54 if the charge level is greater than a threshold value (column 4 lines 1-17) or indicating that the level of refrigerant charge is unacceptable 56 (column 4 lines 18-28). Bathla further teaches a compressor 6 adapted to circulate a refrigerant and an air-handling subsystem for providing air cooled by the refrigerant subsystem to a vehicle passenger compartment (column 1 lines 14-27). Bathla fails to explicitly teach the fourth signal indicates the cycling of the compressor between the engaged and disengaged states. Scoccia teaches a refrigerant charge detection system wherein a controller 50 controls a compressor by either engaging or disengaging a compressor clutch (column 1 lines 15-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a sensor indicative of cycling of the compressor between an engaged and disengaged state to advantageously disengage the compressor cycling clutch when there is a low refrigerant charge and thus prevent compressor damage in view of the teachings of Scoccia (column 5 lines 15-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,728 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

With regard to claims 12 and 20, Bathla in view of Scoccia teach all limitations of claim 10, however do not teach the first and fourth signals are sampled more frequently than the second and third signals. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to sample the cooled air temperatures and compressor cycling signals more frequently, as it is well known that these values change more frequently than the ambient air temperature and humidity change when the vehicle is in operation because the compressor cycling and cooled air sensors are directly affected by internal vehicle conditions, and humidity and ambient temperature factors are directly affected by conditions external to the vehicle which don't change as often. Thus more samples would be needed for a more accurate assessment of their measurements.

With regard to claim 14, Bathla in view of Scoccia teach all limitations of claim 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the temperature signal as close as possible to a vent aperture in the air handling subsystem to advantageously ensure no, or minimal, temperature drop in the air after leaving the air handling subsystem before being detected by the temperature sensor.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Application/Control Number: 10/709,728

Art Unit: 3744

Page 6

Claim 13 is rejected as being dependent on a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all limitations of claim 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gene L. Bankhead whose telephone number is (571)-272-8963. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571)-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHERYL TYLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GB Examiner Art Unit 3744